#### **TOP 3: EMBRACE**

N Engl J Med Volume 370(26):2467-2477 June 26, 2014

David J. Gladstone et al

Lucy Vieira MD FRCP Neurologist MUHC **Divulgation de conflits d'intérêts potentiels** Société des sciences vasculaires du Québec (SSVQ) 7<sup>e</sup> COLLOQUE NEUROVASCULAIRE 12 septembre 2014

## **Dr. Lucy Vieira,** Neurologist, Montreal General Hospital, McGill University

**Receiving research funds** 

AstraZeneca

2014 - 2016

#### **Original Article**

### Atrial Fibrillation in Patients with Cryptogenic Stroke

David J. Gladstone, M.D., Ph.D., et al

- •Investigator initiated, open label, multi-center trial
- •16 stroke centers in the CSC (CSN funded)
- •572 patients with a recent cryptogenic stroke or TIA

N Engl J Med Volume 370(26):2467-2477June 26, 2014



#### Cryptogenic stroke

Stroke or TIA of Undetermined origin after standard workup (ECG, Holter>24h, TTE, Brain and vascular imaging)

-embolic strokes of undetermined source-significant number may be due to PAF-up to now anticoagulation is not indicated

Lancet Neurology, Volume 13, Issue 4, 2014, 429 - 438



# **Clinical Question**

For patients with cryptogenic stroke, how often does the use of various technologies, identify previously undetected NVAF?

Two Class II<sup>8,9</sup> and 15 Class
III<sup>10-24</sup> studies were identified that address this question.

Evidence-based guideline update: Prevention of stroke in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: AAN Culebras A et al. Neurology 2014;82:716-724

Reference	Class	Technique	Monitoring duration (days)		Detection rate and 95% Cl	
Ref 15	111	нм	10	<b>-</b>	1	1
Ref 18		HM	1.0	-		
Ref 13	iii	HM	1.0		.	
Ref 14		НМ	1.0			
Ref 10	Ш	inntTele	27		_	
Ref 16	III	sEKG	3.0		— <b>—</b> —	
Ref 12	Ш	HM	3.0			
Ref 20	Ш	ELR	7.0		_ <b>_</b>	
Ref 11	Ш	sELR	21.0		<b>_</b>	
Ref 9	1	MCOT	21.0			
Ref 23	III	MCOT	21.0		<b>_</b>	
Ref 24	Ш	MCOT	21.0		<b></b>	
Ref 21	Ш	phoneEKG	30.0			
Ref 8	П	ELR	30.0		<b>—</b>	
Ref 19	Ш	sEKG, HM, ELF	R 30.0			
Ref 22	Ш	ELR	30.0		<b>_</b>	-
Ref 17	Ш	НМ	31.0		-	
				0.00	0.25	0.50

## Figure 1 Proportion of patients with ischemic stroke identified with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation, by study (sorted by monitoring duration.)

Culebras A et al. Neurology 2014;82:716-724



## **A. Practice Recommendations**

1. Clinicians might obtain cardiac rhythm studies for prolonged periods (e.g., for 1 or more weeks) instead of shorter periods (e.g., 24 hours) in patients with cryptogenic stroke , to increase the yield of identification of patients with occult NVAF (Level C).

Culebras A et al. Neurology feb 2014;82:716-724

### **EMBRACE:** Trial Design

- Ambulatory Patients >55
  - stroke or TIA of undetermined origin
  - less than 6 months ago
  - randomly assigned to ambulatory ECG monitoring with a 30 day event triggered loop recorder versus 1 additional round of 24 h Holter monitoring and regular follow up.
- Primary outcome:
  - Detection of  $\geq 1$  episode of AF lasting  $\geq 30$  sec

#### **Secondary Outcomes**

• Atrial fibrillation or flutter  $\geq$ 30 seconds, Other Nonsustained irregular atrial rhythms, Composite endpoint

•Proportion of patients prescribed oral anticoagulation, at 90day follow-up

•Patient adherence with 30-day monitoring

•1 and 2-year rates of recurrent ischemic stroke/TIA, death, hemorrhagic stroke, major adverse bleeding events, detection of atrial fibrillation outside of the study protocol

#### **Protocol: device**

- Devices were purchased and manufacturer had no role in the study (Braemar)
  - Automatic recording of irregularity in the R-R interval over 30 beats
  - 30 minute memory capacity and could record max. 2.5 minutes per episode.
  - Dry –electrode belt around the chest (improve compliance)
  - Data transmitted by telephone for central interpretation
  - AF episodes adjudicated by a cardiologist and an internist
  - Results then sent to study sites and Rx left to the discretion of the MD



Incremental Yield of Prolonged ECG Monitoring for the Detection of Atrial Fibrillation in Patients with Cryptogenic Stroke or TIA.



#### **Baseline Characteristics of the Patients.**

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Patients.*						
Characteristic	Intervention Group (N=286)	Control Group (N=285)				
Age						
Mean age — yr	72.5±8.5	73.2±8.8				
≥75 yr — no. (%)	104 (36.4)	118 (41.4)				
Female sex — no. (%)	132 (46.2)	125 (43.9)				
Race — no. (%)†						
White	257 (89.9)	260 (91.2)				
Asian	15 (5.2)	14 (4.9)				
Black	6 (2.1)	2 (0.7)				
Other	8 (2.8)	9 (3.2)				
Modified Rankin scale score ≤2 — no. (%)‡	274 (95.8)	263 (92.3)				
Medical history — no. (%)						
Hypertension	204 (71.3)	191 (67.0)				
Diabetes	55 (19.2)	55 (19.3)				
Hyperlipidemia	191 (66.8)	177 (62.1)				
Smoking status						
Current smoker	19 (6.6)	24 (8.4)				
Previous smoker	141 (49.3)	131 (46.0)				
Previous ischemic stroke	45 (15.7)	36 (12.6)				
>1 Previous stroke	12 (4.2)	12 (4.2)				
Previous transient ischemic attack	42 (14.7)	46 (16.1)				
Congestive heart failure	5 (1.7)	7 (2.5)				
Myocardial infarction	48 (16.8)	42 (14.7)				
Coronary angioplasty or stenting	24 (8.4)	23 (8.1)				
Coronary bypass surgery	29 (10.1)	19 (6.7)				
Cardiac-valve surgery	6 (2.1)	1 (0.4)				
Type of index event — no. (%)						
Ischemic stroke	188 (65.7)	172 (60.4)				
Transient ischemic attack	98 (34.3)	113 (39.6)				
Oxfordshire classification <sup>18</sup> of the index event — no. (%)§						
Total anterior circulation syndrome	7 (2.4)	5 (1.8)				
Partial anterior circulation syndrome	201 (70.3)	216 (75.8)				
Posterior circulation syndrome	63 (22.0)	56 (19.6)				
Lacunar syndrome	15 (5.2)	7 (2.5)				
No. of days from index event to randomization	76.6±37.5	73.7±39.7				

\* Plus-minus values are means ±SD. There were no significant differences between the two study groups at the 0.05 significance level.

† Race was determined by the investigator.

\$ Scores on the modified Rankin scale range from 0 to 6, with 0 indicating no symptoms and 6 indicating death; a score of 2 or less indicates that the patient is ambulatory and functionally independent in activities of daily living.
§ Data were missing for one patient in the control group.

#### Detection of Atrial Fibrillation in the Two Monitoring Groups.

Table 2. Detection of Atrial Fibrillation in the Two Monitoring Groups.					
Outcome	Intervention Group (N=286) number/total nur	Control Group (N=285) mber (percent)	Absolute Difference (95% CI) percentage points	P Value	No. of Patients Needed to Screen (95% CI)*
Primary outcome: detection of atrial fibrillation with duration ≥30 sec within 90 days†	45/280 (16.1)	9/277 (3.2)	12.9 (8.0–17.6)	<0.001	8 (5.7–12.5)
Secondary outcomes <u>‡</u>					
Detection of atrial fibrillation with duration ≥30 sec	44/284 (15.5)	7/277 (2.5)	13.0 (8.4–17.6)	<0.001	8 (5.7–11.9)
Detection of atrial fibrillation with duration $\geq 2.5$ min	28/284 (9.9)	7/277 (2.5)	7.4 (3.4–11.3)	<0.001	14 (8.8–29.4)
Detection of atrial fibrillation of any duration	56/284 (19.7)	13/277 (4.7)	15.0 (9.8–20.3)	<0.001	7 (4.9–10.2)

\* The number of patients needed to screen was defined as the number of patients who would need to be screened in order to detect atrial fibrillation in one additional patient (with a 30-day monitoring strategy vs. repeat 24-hour Holter monitoring).

† The primary analysis included all the patients who underwent randomization for whom outcome data were available (i.e., patients who underwent any amount of cardiac monitoring or 90-day follow-up in whom the status of atrial fibrillation could be determined). In the primary analysis, atrial fibrillation was detected either clinically or by means of study monitoring.

The secondary analyses included all the patients who underwent randomization and any amount of cardiac monitoring. The detection of atrial fibrillation in the secondary analyses was by means of the study monitors.



#### Anticoagulant Therapy in the Two Monitoring Groups.

Table 3. Anticoagulant Therapy in the Two Monitoring Groups.*						
Therapy	Intervention Group (N=286)	Control Group (N=285)	Absolute Difference (95% CI)	P Value		
	no./total i	no. (%)	percentage points			
Baseline						
Anticoagulant therapy before the index stroke or TIA	3/286 (1.0)	2/285 (0.7)	_	_		
Anticoagulant therapy at randomization after the index stroke or TIA	16/286 (5.6)	19/285 (6.7)	-	—		
After study monitoring						
Therapy at 90 days after randomization						
Anticoagulant therapy	52/280 (18.6)	31/279 (11.1)	7.5 (1.6 to 13.3)	0.01		
Antiplatelet therapy only	223/280 (79.6)	246/279 (88.2)	-8.6 (-14.6 to -2.5)	0.006		
Therapy at randomization changed by 90 days						
From antiplatelet therapy to anticoagulant therapy	38/280 (13.6)	13/279 (4.7)	8.9 (4.2 to 13.6)	<0.001		
From anticoagulant therapy to antiplatelet therapy	3/280 (1.1)	2/279 (0.7)	0.4 (-1.2 to 1.9)	0.66		

\* Anticoagulant therapy was defined as the use of any oral anticoagulant (warfarin, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, or apixaban). Antiplatelet therapy was defined as the use of any antiplatelet medication (aspirin, clopidogrel, aspirin–extended-release dipyridamole, dipyridamole, or other antiplatelet agent) and no oral anticoagulant therapy.



#### **Study Overview**

- patients with cryptogenic stroke randomly assigned to undergo intensive ECG monitoring for 30 days had a higher incidence of detected atrial fibrillation (16%) than those assigned to receive standard 24-hour monitoring (3%).
- nearly doubled the rate of anticoagulant treatment, as compared with the standard practice of short-duration ECG monitoring

## **Comparing EMBRACE with Crystal-AF**

	EMBRACE	Crystal-AF
Monitor type	External	Implanted
Rate of detection	16.1% (90days)	8.9% (6 m)
Hazard ratio	5	6.4
Mean patient age	73	61.5
Time to randomisation/Time to discover AF	75d / 75% in 2weeks	38d / median 41d
mRS	<2	<2
AF episodes > 2.5 minutes duration	2/3	Average time in AF 4 min.
Rate of anticoagulation vs non-monitorred	double	double

#### What we have learned

- Paroxysmal AF evades detection and requires at least several weeks of continuous monitoring.
  - Current techniques are grossly inferior and standard practices may have to change consequently

## At least two questions may remain

1. Cryptogenic stroke:

Even after long-term follow-up (up to 3 years in CRYSTAL AF), less than one third of the patients had evidence of atrial fibrillation.

2. May need more evidence to guide therapy for subclinical atrial fibrillation.

Thank you for your attention